PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (June 2024)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: December 27, 2024

TIME: 3:18 PM

WSR 25-02-085

Agency: Washingtor	n State Env	rironmental and Land Use Hear	rings Office		
□ Original Notice □					
□ Supplemental No	tice to WS	SR			
□ Continuance of V	VSR	-			
□ Preproposal State	ement of I	Inquiry was filed as WSR 24-0	<u>08-015</u> ; or		
□ Expedited Rule N	/lakingPr	oposed notice was filed as W	/SR; or		
□ Proposal is exem	npt under l	RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.33	0(1); or		
□ Proposal is exem					
		ring information: (describe sub	oject) WAC 242-03 GMHB Rules of Practice ar	nd Procedure	
Hearing location(s)	:				
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:		
2/4/2025	9:00 am	Zoom		The public	
		https://olubo-wa-		hearing will be conducted	
		https://eluho-wa- gov.zoom.us/j/6690698875?pwd=YVJDN0R4WmRPUDBQdjdvaE82		online via	
			ZVFIUT09&omn=86401404695 Zoom;		
				however,	
		Meeting ID: 669 069 8875		participants	
		Passcode: 635907		who wish to comment	
		Physical Location: 1111 Israel	Road SW, Suite 301, Tumwater, WA 98501	may phone in	
		Triyologi Zoogaloni Tri Triolagi	Trodu Str, Salto Sor, Falliwator, Wit Socor	using the	
				Zoom call in	
				information or	
				they may	
				participate in person	
Date of intended ad	option: 2/2	28/2025 (Note: This is NO	T the effective date)		
Submit written com	ments to:		Assistance for persons with disabilities:		
Name Jamie Merly			Contact Jamie Merly		
•			Phone (360) 485-1282		
			Fax		
Fax			TTY		
Other			Email Jamie.Merly@eluho.wa.gov		
Beginning (date an	d time) 1	2/30/2024	Other		
By (date and time)			By (date) <u>2/3/2024</u>		
Durnage of the pres	and and	ita antiginatad affacta inglud	ing any changes in evicting rules. The rule	hongo will	

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The rule changes will clarify that the board shall dismiss based upon a stipulated motion. The rule changes clarify terminology to reduce confusion about the board's statutory authority. Lastly, the rule changes add a rule that the board will determine whether the respondent has delayed or suspended the effective date of the action subject to petition.

Reasons supporting proposal: The rule changes align Board procedure with existing statutory and case law. (See *Spokane County v. Growth Management Hearings Board*, 534 P. 3d 1203, 2023) (The Court of Appeals Div III held that RCW 36.70A.330 does not require the GMHB to hold a compliance hearing after a finding of noncompliance when the parties settle their dispute and agree to dismiss).

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 36.70A.270							
Statute being implemented: RCW 36.70A							
Is rule necessary	because of a:						
Federal Lav	ν?		☐ Yes ☒ No				
Federal Co	□ Yes ⊠ No						
State Court	□ Yes ⊠ No						
If yes, CITATION:							
•		y, as to statutory language, implementation, enfo	rcement, and fiscal				
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington State Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office Type of proponent: □ Private. □ Public. ☒ Governmental.							
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:						
,	Name	Office Location	Phone				
	Name	1111 Israel Road SW, Suite 301, Tumwater, WA	THORIC				
Drafting	Jamie Merly	98501	(360) 485-1282				
Implementation	Jamie Merly	1111 Israel Road SW, Suite 301, Tumwater, WA 98501	(360) 485-1282				
Enforcement	Jamie Merly	1111 Israel Road SW, Suite 301, Tumwater, WA 98501	(360) 485-1282				
Is a school distri	ct fiscal impact statement rec	quired under RCW 28A.305.135?	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other		strict fiscal impact statement by contacting:					
	eliminary cost-benefit analysis n						
Other No: Please explain: Washington State Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office is not specifically listed in RCW 34.05.328 (5)(b)(i) and does not intend to make this section voluntarily applicable to this rule update per subsection (5)(b)(ii). One of the primary purposes of the rule change is to clarify procedures for implementing existing statutes, consistent with RCW 34.05.328 (5)(b)(v). Therefore, unless subsection (ii) is invoked by the joint administrative rules committee after filing CR-102, no cost benefit analysis is required							
Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement Note: The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.							
(1) Identification of exemptions:							
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see <u>chapter 19.85 RCW</u>). For additional information on exemptions, consult the <u>exemption guide published by ORIA</u> . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):							

adopted sol regulation tl adopted.	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt ely to conform and/or comply with federal statute his rule is being adopted to conform or comply will description:	or regul						
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this pro		the agency has completed the pilot rule process le.					
	☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was							
	a referendum.							
☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:					
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)					
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)					
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)					
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)					
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)	\boxtimes	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)					
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process					
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)					
☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under R	CW 19.85.025(4). (Does not affect small businesses).					
☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt	under R	CW					
Explanation	of how the above exemption(s) applies to the pr	oposed r	ule:					
(2) Scope (of exemptions: Check one.							
	-	nptions id	entified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.					
		•	exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule					
	ut less than the entire rule proposal. Provide deta		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
☐ The rule	proposal: Is not exempt. (Complete section 3.)	No exemp	otions were identified above.					
(3) Small b	usiness economic impact statement: Complet	te this se	ction if any portion is not exempt.					
If any portion on business		pose mor	e-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2))					
□ No	Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost ana	lysis and	how the agency determined the proposed rule did not					
impose more-than-minor costs.								
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:								
econom	ic impact statement is required. Insert the require	ed small b	usiness economic impact statement nere:					
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:								
Name								
Address								
Phone								
Fax								
T ⁻	TTY							
	mail							
0	ther	1						
Date: 12/27	7/2024	Signatu	ire: Place signature here					
Name: Jamie Merly		_	i idoo signature nere					
Title: Director of Legal and Administrative Services								